CONTENTS

Table of conventions and international documents *page* xvi
Table of constitutions and statutes xvii
Table of cases xix

Introduction 1

PART I **Constitutional rights: scope and limitations** 17

1 Constitutional rights: scope and the extent of their protection 19

A. The nature of the distinction 19
1. Scope and protection 19
2. The distinction in practice: the scope of freedom of expression and its protection 21

B. The centrality of the distinction 22

C. The distinction in comparative law 24

D. Three stages of constitutional judicial review 26

E. Absolute rights 27
1. Are there absolute constitutional rights? 27
2. The jurisprudence of absolute rights 29
3. Absolute rights turned relative 31

F. Relative rights 32
1. The nature of the relative constitutional right 32
2. Boundaries and limitations 32

G. Constitutional rights: prima facie or definite? 37
1. The problem presented 37
2. The prima facie constitutional right: Alexy's view 38
3. Definite constitutional rights that cannot be realized 39

H. Is there a constitutional right to commit a proportional crime? 42

1. A constitutional right to steal? 42
2. The criticism and a response 43

2 Determining the scope of constitutional rights 45
A. The right's scope is determined by constitutional interpretation 45
1. Constitutional interpretation 45
2. Constitutional interpretation: a generous view 69
B. The right's scope and public interest 75
1. The proper role of public interest considerations 75
2. Public interest as part of proportionality 76
C. The scope of constitutional rights and the rights of others 80
1. The proper role of "rights of others" considerations 80
2. The "rights of others" and constitutional rights conflict 81

3 Conflicting constitutional rights 83
A. Resolving the constitutional conflict at the sub-constitutional level 83
1. A model of constitutional conflict 83
2. Conflicts between constitutional rights and the rule of law 86
B. Conflict between rule-shaped constitutional rights 86
C. Conflict between principle-shaped constitutional rights 87
1. The scope and validity of the conflicting rights are not affected 87
2. The effect on the realization of the conflicting rights 89
3. Interpretive balancing between principle-shaped constitutional rights 92
4. Constitutional validity 93
5. Conflicting rights with no implementing legislation 94
6. Conflicting rights which lead to a conflict between the legislation which defines their realization 96
D. A conflict between a principle-shaped right and a rule-shaped right 97

4 Limitation of constitutional rights 99
A. Limitation and amendment of rights 99
B. Limitation on rights 101
1. Infringement and limitation on rights 101
2. De minimis constitutional limitations 103

3. Incidental limitations 105
4. Waiving constitutional rights 106

5 Limiting constitutional rights by law 107
A. The legality principle 107
1. Legal authority to limit a right 107
2. The authorization chain 108
B. Statutory limitations 110
1. Limitation by statute 110
2. Limitation according to statute 111
C. The legality principle and common law 118
1. The constitution and common law 118
2. The common law and the limitation clause 121

PART II **Proportionality: sources, nature, function** 129

6 The nature and function of proportionality 131
A. The nature of proportionality 131
1. Proportionality and its components 131
2. Different methods of limiting constitutional rights 133
3. The "silent constitution" and limitation of rights 134
4. Specific limitation clauses 141
5. General limitation clauses 142
6. Hybrid limitation clauses 144
7. The preferred regime: general, specific, or hybrid limitation clause? 145
B. The formal role of proportionality 146
1. Proportionality regarding validity and proportionality regarding meaning 146
2. The constitutionality of limiting a constitutional right by a sub-constitutional law 147
3. The reason behind the constitutional hierarchical relationship 149
4. The effect on a constitutional right of a constitutional norm 152
5. Limitation of a sub-constitutional norm by a lower sub-constitutional norm 155
6. Limitation of a sub-constitutional norm by an equal-level norm 157
C. The substantive role of proportionality 161
1. Human rights and their limitation 161

2. Protecting human rights and recognizing the constitutionality of their limitations 165
3. Both the right and its limitations stem from a shared source 166
4. The limits on constitutional limitations 166

D. Limitation clause and the override 167
1. The nature of the override 167
2. The relationship between the limitation clause and the override 169

7 The historical origins of proportionality 175

A. Proportionality: in life and in the law 175
1. On the philosophical origins of proportionality 175
2. Proportionality and the Enlightenment 176
3. Proportionality as counter-formalism 177
4. The contribution of Carl Gottlieb Svarez 177

B. The development of proportionality in German public law 178
1. Proportionality in German administrative law, 1800–1933 178
2. The development of proportionality in German constitutional law post-Second World War 179

C. The migration of proportionality from German law to European law 181
1. European legal migration 181
2. Proportionality and the European Convention on Human Rights 183
3. Proportionality in the law of the European Union 184

D. From European law to Western European states' law 186

E. From European law to Canada, Ireland, and England 188
1. Canada 188
2. Ireland 190
3. United Kingdom 192

F. From Canada to New Zealand and Australia 194
1. New Zealand 194
2. Australia 195

G. From Canada and Germany to South Africa 197

H. Proportionality migrates to Central and Eastern Europe 198

I. Proportionality migrates to Asia and South America 199

1. Asia 199
2. South America 201

J. Proportionality and international human rights law 202
1. International and national human rights law 202
2. Proportionality and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 203
3. Proportionality and international humanitarian law 204

K. Has proportionality arrived in America? 206

L. Proportionality in Israel 208

8 The legal sources of proportionality 211

A. Proportionality as a criterion for the realization of constitutional rights 211
1. The need for a constitutional entrenchment 211
2. The nature of the constitutional entrenchment 213

B. Proportionality and democracy 214
1. The relationship between democracy and proportionality: basic assumptions 214
2. First assumption: democracy is of a constitutional status 214
3. Second assumption: democracy includes human rights 218
4. Third assumption: democracy is based on a balance between constitutional rights and the public interest 220
5. Fourth assumption: balancing through limitation clauses 221
6. Fifth assumption: limitation clauses are based on proportionality 222
7. An assessment of democracy as a source of proportionality 226

C. Proportionality and the rule of law 226
1. The German approach 226
2. First assumption: the rule of law has a constitutional status 228
3. Second assumption: the rule of law includes human rights 230
4. Third assumption: the rule of law is based on a balance between constitutional rights and the public interest 232
5. Fourth assumption: the balancing is conducted through limitation clauses 232
6. Fifth assumption: limitation clauses are based on proportionality 233
7. An assessment of rule of law as a source of proportionality 234

D. Proportionality as intrinsic to the conflict between legal principles 234

E. Proportionality and interpretation 238
F. Legal sources summary: is proportionality a logical necessity? 240

PART III **The components of proportionality** 243

9 Proper purpose 245
A. The proper purpose as a component of proportionality 245
1. The nature of the proper purpose and its sources 245
2. Proper purpose as a threshold requirement 246
B. The elements of proper purpose 249
1. The scope of the proper purpose 249
2. The components of the proper purpose 251
C. The proper purpose's content and the state's democratic values 251
1. Democracy's minimum requirements 251
2. Pertinent democratic values 253
3. General criteria for determining the proper purpose 257
4. The categories of proper purposes 260
D. The urgency of proper purpose 277
1. The problems with urgency 277
2. Is "urgency" required? 278
3. Criteria for determining urgency 279
E. Identifying the proper purpose 285
1. The purposes of the limiting law 285
2. Subjective or objective test? 286
3. The correct solution for identifying the proper purpose 298

10 Rational connection 303
A. The nature of the rational connection test 303
1. The content of the rational connection test 303
2. The nature of the rational connection 305
3. Rational connection and the means "designed to achieve" the proper purpose 306
4. Rational connection and arbitrary means 307
B. The rational connection test and factual uncertainty 308
1. The problem of factual uncertainty 308
2. The certainty of the rational connection: rejection of extreme approaches 309

3. Determining the factual basis required for the existence of the rational connection 310
4. Rational connection and the test of time 312
5. Rational connection: a threshold test 315

C. Is the rational connection test essential? 315

11 Necessity 317

A. Characteristics of the necessity test 317
1. The content of the necessity test 317
2. The nature of the necessity test 320
3. The elements of the necessity test 323
4. The necessity test and the purpose's level of abstraction 331

B. Means "narrowly tailored" to fulfill the law's purpose 333
1. The metaphors of the cannon and the sparrows 333
2. Overinclusiveness 335

C. The necessity test: an evaluation 337
1. The "heart and soul" of the proportionality test? 337
2. Necessity: an important test 337

12 Proportionality stricto sensu (balancing) 340

A. The characteristics of proportionality stricto sensu 340
1. The content of the test 340
2. The nature of the proportionality stricto sensu test 342
3. Proper relation: a balancing test 343
4. The uniqueness of the test 344

B. The rule of balancing 345
1. The centrality of balancing 345
2. Balancing and validity 346
3. The nature of the balancing 348
4. Balancing based on the importance of the benefits and the importance of preventing the harm 349

C. The basic balancing rule 362
1. The elements of the basic balancing rule 362
2. The components of the basic balancing rule and its justification 363
3. Balanced scales 365
4. The basic balancing rule and specific balancing 367

5. Principled balancing 370

13 Proportionality and reasonableness 371
A. From reasonableness to proportionality 371
B. The components of proportionality and reasonableness 372
1. The components of proportionality 372
2. The components of reasonableness 373
C. The relationship between proportionality and reasonableness 375
1. Degree of detailing 375
2. Balancing 377

14 Zone of proportionality: legislator and judge 379
A. The application of proportionality to the three branches of government: the issue of judicial review 379
1. Proportionality and the three branches of government 379
2. Proportionality, judicial review, and democracy 381
3. Proportionality and the separation of powers 384
B. Discretion and the components of proportionality 400
1. The decision to legislate 400
2. Determining purposes 401
3. Choosing the legislative means 405
4. The rational connection test 405
5. The necessity test 407
6. The proportionality stricto sensu test (balancing) 413
C. The zone of proportionality 415
1. Its nature 415
2. The zone of proportionality: legislator and judge 417
D. Margin of appreciation 418
1. Its nature 418
2. The margin of appreciation and the zone of proportionality 419

15 Proportionality and positive constitutional rights 422
A. Positive constitutional rights 422
1. The nature of positive constitutional rights 422
2. Positive constitutional rights in comparative law 423
3. The legal source of positive constitutional rights 425
4. Constitutional positive aspect and constitutional positive right 427

B. Positive constitutional rights and proportionality's components 429
1. Positive rights as relative rights 429
2. The proper purpose component 430
3. The rational connection component 432
4. The necessity component 433
5. The proportionality stricto sensu component 433

16 The burden of proof 435
A. The issue presented 435
B. The burden of proof: facts and law 436
C. The burden of persuasion and the burden of producing evidence 437
D. The first stage of constitutional review: a limitation of a constitutional right 437
E. The second stage of constitutional review: justification of the limitation of a right 439
1. The elements which make up the justification of the limitation of a right 439
2. Comparative analysis 439
F. The burden of persuasion during the second stage: on the party claiming the existence of a justification for the limitation 442
1. The burden of persuasion and the status of human rights 442
2. The counter-argument: the presumption of constitutionality 444
G. The burden of producing evidence during the second stage: on the party arguing that the limitation is justified 447
1. The basic approach 447
2. The burden during the second stage and the status of human rights 447
3. The burden of producing evidence and the burden of the claim that there is no less limiting alternative (necessity test) 448
4. The burden of producing the evidence and the unique nature of the judicial process in constitutional matters 449

PART IV **Proportionality evaluated** 455

17 Proportionality's importance 457
A. Proportionality and its critique 457

B. The emphasis on the need for rational justification 458
C. The need for structured discretion 460
1. The importance of structured discretion 460
2. Transparency 462
3. Appropriate considerations in proper context 463
4. A dialogue between the legislature and the judiciary 465
D. Proportionality and human rights theories 467
1. Proportionality as a vessel for human rights theories 467
2. Proportionality and liberalism 468
E. Proportionality, democracy, and judicial review 472
1. Proportionality and democracy 472
2. Proportionality and judicial review 473
3. Kumm's approach 475
4. Beatty's approach 476

18 The criticism on proportionality and a retort 481
A. The scope of the criticism on proportionality 481
B. Internal criticism 482
1. The nature of the internal criticism 482
2. The lack of a standard by which proportionality can be examined 482
3. The lack of rationality 484
C. External criticism 487
1. Too wide a judicial discretion 487
2. Insufficient protection of constitutional rights 488
D. Lack of judicial legitimacy 490
1. The nature of the criticism 490
2. A retort 491

19 Alternatives to proportionality 493
A. Non-categorization-based alternatives 493
1. Absolute rights 493
2. Protecting the core of the constitutional right 496
3. The dual model 499
B. The categorization-based alternatives 502
1. Categorization within the human rights discourse 502
2. The nature of thinking in legal categories 503
3. Constitutional rights in categorized thinking 505

4. Categorization and the two-stage model 507
5. Categorization and balancing 508
6. Categorization and human rights in American constitutional law 509

20 The future of proportionality 528
A. Regarding the need for renewal 528
B. The proper purpose component – future developments 529
1. Different approaches to proper purpose 529
2. The proper approach: the hierarchy of constitutional rights with regard to the purpose's importance 531
3. Proper purpose and protection of constitutional rights 533
C. The rational connection component 539
D. The necessity component: future developments 540
E. The proportionality stricto sensu component: future developments 542
1. The nature of principled balancing formulas 542
2. Principled balancing formulas: a comparative survey 545

Bibliography 548
Index 593